

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,099	03/16/2004	Williem F. Wolkers	010023-000121US	3233	
*···	7590 01/29/2007 ual Property Law Group,	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1900 EMBARC	ADERO ROAD	LANKFORD	LANKFORD JR, LEON B		
SUITE 109 PALO ALTO, O	CA 94303	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1651		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/802,099	WOLKERS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Leon Lankford	1651	

•	- LAGIIIIICI	AIT OILL					
	Leon Lankford	1651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The periods. a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 November 2006</u> . A	brief in compliance with 27 CEP 41	L 27 must be filed with	ain two months				
of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl)), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered by					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below):	ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		, ,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reju	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		-	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>as in final rejection</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	42	V/V				
		Leon/B Lantford Primary Examiner					
		\ Art / Init: 1651 //					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections stand for the reasons of record set forth in the final rejection of 5/02/2006. A showing to overcome a prima facie case of obviousness must be clear and convincing (In re Lohr et al. 137 USPQ 548) as well as commensurate in scope with the claimed subject matter (In re Lindner 173 USPQ 356; In re Hyson, 172 USPQ 399 and In re Boesch et al., 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).